

SL-14-LO-B

Reach Information Form (Lotic)

I. Background Information: Date: 4/19/2017  
 Riparian area/stream name: Silverwood Lake Reach ID: 166  
 Management unit (allotment/pasture, other): Miller Campground  
 Administrative unit/state: CA STATE PARKS  
 ID team members: AE, MG

Assessment method: Reach length (miles/km):  
☒ Complete reconnaissance  
☐ Selective inspection of representative areas  
☐ Remote imagery with selective ground inspection

Location: Attach aerial image, USGS 7.5-minute topographic map, or GIS map with reach breaks indicated.

II. Reach break location:

| Reach starting point (upstream) | Reach ending point (downstream) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| N. Lat. UTM E m                 | N. Lat. UTM E m                 |
| or                              | or                              |
| W. Long. N m                    | W. Long. N m                    |

Positions by GPS? ☒ Yes ☐ No Photos taken? ☒ Yes ☐ No UTM Zone:  
 Datum: ☐ NAD27 ☐ NAD83 ☐ WGS84 ☐ Other (specify):

Rationale for reach breaks: Stream becomes confined by slopes on either bank - looses floodplain

III. Description of potential and rationale (should include description of hydrologic regime, stream type(s), and riparian plant communities at potential; may include additional information such as valley type, gradient, entrenchment ratio, sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and bed and bank materials):

Perennial stream system is confined by adjacent canyon slopes and looses floodplain. Streambanks and channel are primarily boulders and bedrock, which prevents riparian growth. However,

mature woody riparian species have established along banks where possible. Woody debris from adjacent vegetation and from upstream obstructions in channel. Channel appears to be in balance with adjacent topography and the local bioclimate.

IV. Other assessment or monitoring data or information about the reach:

① Majority of reach occurs outside of project boundary

# PFC Assessment Form (Lotic)

Riparian area/stream name: Silverwood lake Reach ID: 168 Date: 4-19

| Yes   | No                                  | NA                       | HYDROLOGY  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | 1) Floodplain is inundated in "relatively frequent" events.  |
| Rationale: Floodplain is narrow to non-existent due to the stream's natural confinement in between 2 slopes   |                                     |                          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 2) Beaver dams are stable.   |
| Rationale:  |                                     |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | 3) Sinuosity, gradient, and width/depth ratio are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region). |
| Rationale: Substrate varies between cobble, boulders, bedrock with small patches of sand in between. These factors limit any development on the stream. |                                     |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | 4) Riparian area is expanding or has achieved potential extent.  |
| Rationale: Floodplain is confined. Riparian area is to the extent.  |                                     |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | 5) Riparian impairment from the upstream or upland watershed is absent.  |
| Rationale: No impairments observed.   |                                     |                          |  |

| Yes   | No                       | NA                       | VEGETATION   |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 6) There is adequate diversity of stabilizing riparian vegetation for recovery/maintenance.  |
| Rationale: Where vegetation was present there is adequate diversity of stabilizing riparian vegetation. However, about 50% of area consisted of bedrock |                          |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 7) There are adequate age classes of stabilizing riparian vegetation for recovery/maintenance.   |
| Rationale: Where vegetation was present there were mature trees/saplings/shrub cover & emerging vegetation.   |                          |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 8) Species present indicate maintenance of riparian soil-moisture characteristics.   |
| Rationale: Observed facultative plants species which indicate consistent water level in the soil.   |                          |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 9) Stabilizing plant communities capable of withstanding moderately high streamflow events are present along the streambank.             |
| Rationale: Where floodplains were present & vegetated, moderate-deep roots systems were present which allows capacity to withstand high streamflows.    |                          |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 10) Riparian plants exhibit high vigor.  |
| Rationale: No signs of stress were observed within the study area.  |                          |                          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 11) An adequate amount of stabilizing riparian vegetation is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during moderately high flows. |
| Rationale: Where floodplain were present, adequate vegetation cover with moderately to deep rooted systems were present.                                |                          |                          |  |

|  |                                     |                          |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | 12) Plant communities are an adequate source of woody material for maintenance/recovery.   |
| Rationale: Woody vegetation was observed along the stream & where visible, floodplain to allow collection of deposits.                                     |                                     |                          |  |
| Yes  | No                                  | NA                       | GEOMORPHOLOGY  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | 13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, woody material, vegetation, floodplain size, overflow channels) are adequate to dissipate energy. |
| Rationale: 50 percent of stream consist of large boulders + bedrock which allow energy to dissipate.   |                                     |                          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 14) Point bars are revegetating with stabilizing riparian plants.  |
| Rationale: Confined stream with large boulders & bedrock. Point bars are not expected to form under these conditions. No point bars observed.              |                                     |                          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 15) Streambanks are laterally stable.  |
| Rationale: Banks consist of bedrock & large boulders.  |                                     |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | 16) Stream system is vertically stable (not incising).   |
| Rationale: Stream system was observed vertically stable with an average of 8-10 ft. Entrenchment of channel not observed, likely due to bedrock substrate. |                                     |                          |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | 17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment that is being supplied by the drainage basin (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition).              |
| Rationale: Excessive deaggregation not observed.   |                                     |                          |  |

## Summary Determination

## Functional rating (check one)

- ☒ Proper functioning condition  
☐ Functional-at risk  
☐ Nonfunctional

## Trend (check one)

- |  |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Monitored trend                            | Apparent trend                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Upward            | <input type="checkbox"/> Upward       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Downward          | <input type="checkbox"/> Downward     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Static | <input type="checkbox"/> Not apparent |



## Rationale for rating:

Reach is in balance with surrounding topography and local bioclimate.

## Rationale for trend:

Are there factors present preventing the achievement of PFC or affecting progress towards desired condition that are outside the control of the manager?

☐ No

**If yes, what are those factors? Check all that apply.**

☐ Road encroachment☐ Oil field water discharge☐ Augmented flows☐ Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Explain factors preventing achievement of PFC: \_\_\_\_\_

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins or other markings on the paper.

→ funeral duskywing

(Revised 2014)

Vig

- Same as previous <sup>\*new</sup> spp  
rich but w/ alder

willow

\* Arden

\* Pol Mon

\* Pol Mon  
\* Encanensis

\* *viola* sp. (yellow)

~~\* Umbellulana Californica (?)~~

→ funeral duskywing